

WRENCHE, KANS., Aug. 9, 1888.

Afternoon fell from a second-story window a fence. I found him using

JACOBS OIL.

It cured all over his bruises. I saw him at work. All the bluespots appeared, leaving neither pain, itching, or C. K. NEUMANN, M.D.

JOBS OIL DID IT."

wouldn't have known him but for his voice, he was changed. I could hardly command myself. To know that I did not kill Joe, and that he, after all, was on the spot was all like the opening of the heavens. I made my way toward him like a drunken man, so excited was I. He ran, yet to him I fell down at his feet and cried out: 'Joe Dunford, is it you?' In a second, he said: 'Bill Far, old boy! Where've you been so long? I've hunted for you high and low, supposing you were drowned by the upsetting of that tank. I found you out on the river in California. So you were not drowned, and here we are once more! Come, old boy, let's take something for old times' sake. I'll look after you a little, together, and talk about the scene in the river and our wanderings. Joe said he was only a little angry, accidentally fell overboard, and went down in the water. When he came up he struck his head on the shore and distance below. He always supposed I was at the bottom of the river. He always felt guilty for causing it. If we come out of the fight all right to-morrow we have agreed to get together again and talk over our wanderings and the things that happened. They didn't get together, according to agreement. Nor were the promised letters to the old folks at home written. Some of the other boys had wrote a letter and Joe both were killed in the day's fight.

TIN-PLATE MANUFACTURES.

A Thorough Exposure of the Nonsense of the Free-Trade Liars.

The following communication from the oldest tin-plate house in the country tells the story of the tin-plate business in a very few words.

EDITOR NATIONAL TRIBUNE: We are at a loss to understand how it is possible for any American to claim that tinplate cannot be made here, and if you were to ask him if America could produce tinplate, he would say, "Yes, but not in quantities, appliances, sheet-steel, silk, satin, carpets, locomotives, sheet-steel, sheet-iron, etc.," he would proudly say "yes." Now take the position that America can produce sheet-iron or sheet-steel, and you will find that she can produce it fully equal in every respect to every tinplate in the world. Does she produce pig-iron, pig-tin? Does she contain labor with the same efficiency as the foreign as found in the world? To every one of these questions the reply would be "yes," excepting in regard to the pig-tin the reply may be that at the present time the quantity produced is limited. But as to the others, the answer is, "Yes." The pig-iron, the steel, the sheet-iron, the sheet-steel, the palm-oil process, and the acid-flux process. The pig-iron, the steel, the sheet-iron, the sheet-steel, the palm-oil process is slow, but it is the best and the only way by which really fine and durable products can be made. The acid-flux process is quick, cheap, and easy.

That we may give you a clear illustration of it, we had better take a box of IC 14 of cheap roofing tin, which is composed of 105 pounds of tin and 15 pounds of iron. This is American manufacture, which no one will deny. Now, if you have any knowledge of the output of our rolling-mills. Now what is done with this to make the finished plate? The sheets are rolled, and then they are put into the acid-flux process, already done in hundreds of plants working in this country in the making of galvanized iron, etc. They are then taken to the packing and coating by two boys. This is done by a machine that costs about 500 pounds in proportion, say, about one pound of tin to nine pounds of lead. At the present value of metals this mixture is worth less than six cents per box.

These two boys can tin the sheets at the rate of 15 per minute. You therefore see they can finish a box in about nine minutes, and the amount of coating put on is one and one-half pounds of tin and one-half pound of iron. In complete, you take nine cents as the value of the metal, the boys' wages for nine minutes, and add the value of the sheet-iron or steel, and the cost of cleaning it, the total being the cost of the tin plate. The tin plate is made in a country that can produce almost everything, from a pin to a locomotive, so naturally be able to take the output of its rolling-mills and tinplate. The metal is wrought in England, a mixture of tin and lead. To those who take the position against the industry on account of the question of block tin, we would say that the British or Welsh manufacturers do not get the tin from the tin mines in England, but from what little is mined in England is not of a quality suitable for making tinplate. All tin used in making tinplate comes from the East Indies, and the main source of the principal market, the United States, is from the East Indies, and we are compelled to go to the same market as the English or Welsh manufacturers would not pay any more for our tin landed here in Philadelphia than the market there. In England, the tin, which pay more than 13 cents per 100 pounds freight from London; the ocean rate of freight from London to Philadelphia being only 10 cents per 100 pounds, and the only difference is that the tin is landed in Philadelphia from Great Britain and America is the simplest item of labor. We do not think any American should feel proud of his country going to a foreign country for tin, millions of tons of coated sheet-iron and tinplate simply because they have never carried on the mere operation of putting tin on a coating as is made here, which is as good a quality as the world.

But as to the matter of the tin, sheet-steel, tin, and tin, in America are right, then the price of the product of these combined—namely, tinplate—must be right. If it is not, then they are all wrong and America should get her supplies of tin from the tin mines in England, from foreign countries and cease to be a producer. We thought is un-American, and in most cases we believe is entertained on account of ignorance. Very truly—N. & G. TAYLOR CO., Philadelphia.

Kentucky in the War.

EDITOR NATIONAL TRIBUNE: In your issue of Monday, 10th of June, you published an editorial "What a Picnic It Was," in which it is hard to explain why the Kentuckians, who actually served in the same climate in which the boys of the Spanish-American War were engaged, rapidly, one in every five succumbing to the hardships of camp and field."

Let me give you a pointer. Every State north of the Ohio River had a Board of Commissioners for Spanish-American War. We were to see that the volunteers from their respective States were properly fed, clothed, sheltered, and they were backed up by the State and the Federal Government. It was our duty to provide that which couldn't be forced wheedled out of the Quartermaster or Commissary Departments. The Kentucky volunteers had none such to look after them, and they were left to the tender mercies of the Government at the best of times, and if they hadn't an adept in the art of foraging one to two and half would have been the number "succumbing to the hardships of camp and field," instead of one to five.

I well remember marching, fighting, and camping alongside of Indiana troops in the winter of 1898. The boys were covered with the snow, and the only possible for comfort in the field under the fostering care of that grand soldiers' friend, Indiana's war Governor, Morton, while we poor orphans from Kentucky were left to our own devices. The boys were grub or grubbers; and sometimes the grugging was at the expense of Gov. Morton's. We and we didn't always get off with the best of it.

I enjoy your paper very much, and hope you will give this a place in it; and allow me to add that I do not think the boys from Kentucky were not sufficient credit for their sacrifices. They were persecuted and abused by the Government, encouragement, and "God-speed" from their friends and neighbors, while we from Kentucky were cursed, maligned, and our names persecuted and abused by the neighbors while we were gone. There was no bounty for an inducement there. The boys who enlisted from Kentucky in 1861 and 1862 went in solely from principle and love for the Union, and they were not in any way less than facing the enemy. They were true men, and there are few of them who will do to still—J. D. EASON, Sergeant, Co. M, 10th